

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS****1.) Claim Amendments**

Claims 30-32, 34-42, and 44-58 are pending in the application. The Applicant has amended claims 30, 34, 41, 49, and 52-56. Claims 33 and 43 have been canceled without prejudice. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

**2.) Information Disclosure Statement**

The Examiner stated that the IDS filed on 09/07/2006 failed to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1). The Examiner then listed five requirements for the IDS, but did not indicate which requirement(s) were not met. The Applicant has reviewed the IDS filed on 09/07/2006 and it appears to meet the five requirements, other than specifying the application number, which was not known at the time of filing. Further explanation, or the Examiner's consideration of the cited references, is respectfully requested.

**3.) Examiner Objections – Specification**

The specification was objected to because the title was not descriptive. In response, the Applicant has amended the title as suggested by the Examiner. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

**4.) Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)**

The Examiner rejected claims 30-47 and 49-58 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carden (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0039592 A1) in view of Van Gassel, *et al.* (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0031515 A1). The Applicant has amended the claims to better distinguish the claimed invention from Carden and Van Gassel. The Examiner's consideration of the amended claims is respectfully requested.

The Applicant's claimed invention is directed to a method of handling a web service in which a first mobile node registers a web service with a registration unit and sends a web service identifier and unique circuit-switched identifier (such as the first node's telephone number) to the registration unit. The information is registered at the

registration unit, enabling a second mobile node to obtain the information from the registration unit and communicate with the web service at the first mobile node.

Independent claims 30, 41, 49, and 56 have been amended to more completely claim the invention.

Carden shows in paragraphs 0020, 0024, and 0027 a process of assigning web-page addresses utilizing telephone numbers as part of the domain name. This enables people to find the web site of a business, for example, if they know the business's phone number. This is a very different purpose and function than the claimed invention, which is to enable web service peer-to-peer communications. The Examiner notes that Carden does not disclose enabling a second mobile node to communicate with the web service at the first mobile node. He contends this is shown by Van Gassel. The Applicant notes that Carden also does not show combining the telephone number with a web service identifier, or registering the combined identifier/telephone number with a registration unit as claimed by the Applicant. As a result, Carden does not provide a solution that enables a registration unit to coordinate all peer-to-peer web service requests.

Van Gassel discloses an ad hoc process enabling a user device without an Internet connection to send information to another user device having an Internet connection so that the user device without the connection can access the Internet through the user device having the connection. (Para. 0012-0019). Thus, Van Gassel fails to disclose a first mobile node sending a web service identifier and unique circuit-switched identifier (such as the first node's telephone number) to the registration unit, registering the information at the registration unit, obtaining the information from the registration unit by a second mobile node, and using the information obtained from the registration unit to communicate with the web service at the first mobile node.

Van Gassel also fails to disclose the second mobile node communicating with a web service at the first mobile node. Van Gassel merely uses the user device having the connection as a conduit for the user device without the connection to access web services in the Internet.

Thus, Carden and Van Gassel seem to be directed to processes very different from the Applicant's claimed invention and very different from each other. The

combination of Carden and Van Gassel does not disclose or suggest all of the claimed limitations of independent claims 30, 41, 49, and 56. Therefore, the allowance of claims 30, 41, 49, and 56 is respectfully requested.

The allowance of all dependent claims is respectfully requested since they recite further limitations in combination with the novel and unobvious elements of the independent claims.

The Examiner rejected claim 48 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carden in view of Van Gassel, in further view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). Claim 48 depends from base claim 41, and the Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments and arguments discussed above also distinguish claim 48 over the combination of Carden, Van Gassel, and AAPA. Therefore, the allowance of claim 48 is respectfully requested.

#### **5.) Prior Art Not Relied Upon**

On page 9 of the Office Action, the Examiner stated that the prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure. The Applicant respectfully submits that these references do not render the claimed invention obvious.

#### **6.) Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicant believes all of the claims currently pending in the Application to be in condition for allowance. The Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance for claims 30-32, 34-42, and 44-58.

The Applicant requests a telephone interview if the Examiner has any questions or requires any additional information that would expedite the prosecution of the Application.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven W. Smith  
Registration No. 36,684

Date: September 29, 2010

Ericsson Inc.  
6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11  
Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 583-1572  
steve.xi.smith@ericsson.com